Nitrobenzene as a Monodentate (*O*) and Bidentate (*O*,*O*') Ligand: Synthesis and Structures of $Zn(PhNO_2)_n(OTeF_5)_2$ (n = 2, 3)

Paul K. Hurlburt, Patti J. Kellett, Oren P. Anderson, and Steven H. Strauss* Department of Chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, U.S.A.

An X-ray crystallographic study of the first examples of complexes containing co-ordinated nitrobenzene, $[Zn(PhNO_2)_2(OTeF_5)_2]_2$ and $Zn(PhNO_2)_3(OTeF_5)_2$, has proven that nitrobenzene can function as a bidentate (O,O') ligand as well as a monodentate (O) ligand.

Organic nitro compounds are notoriously weak bases.¹ Previous structural studies have demonstrated co-ordination by NO₂ groups only when the ligand is anionic (*e.g.*, $CH_2NO_2^{-}$)² or when additional anionic metal-binding groups are present in the nitro compound.^{3,4} The co-ordination

chemistry of neutral nitroparaffins and nitroaromatics has several important potential applications, including the preparation of 'co-ordinatively unsaturated' catalyst precursors (some metal complexes of tetrahydrofuran⁵ and acetonitrile,⁶ both of which are much stronger donors than nitrobenzene,¹



exhibit high catalytic activity), the catalytic transformation of nitroaromatics into ureas and carbamates,⁷ and the modification of the stability and burn rate of secondary explosives and propellants (most of which are C-NO₂, O-NO₂, and N-NO₂ compounds).⁸

In this study Zn^{II} complexes of C-NO₂ compounds were prepared by treating $Zn(PhMe)_x(OTeF_5)_2$ (x = 1.5-2.0)⁹ with varying amounts of nitrobenzene or nitromethane (R =Ph or Me) (Scheme 1). These compounds are extremely hygroscopic. More importantly, they rapidly evolve the co-ordinated solvent molecules at 25°C, making a precise determination of n problematic in most cases. While crystals of $Zn(MeNO_2)_n(OTeF_5)_2$ were stable at low temperature, pseudosymmetry has prevented satisfactory solution and refinement Crystals of the structure. of $[Zn(PhNO_2)_2(OTeF_5)_2]_2$ (1) and $Zn(PhNO_2)_3(OTeF_5)_2$ (2), which were grown from dichloromethane containing two equivalents of nitrobenzene per Zn or from neat nitrobenzene, respectively, gave the structures in Figures 1 and 2.†

The Zn^{II} ions are co-ordinated to two (1) or three (2) nitrobenzene ligands, reflecting the extreme degree of coordinative unsaturation in the putative salt Zn(OTeF₅)₂.⁹ Compound (1) is composed of centrosymmetric dinuclear molecules containing planar Zn₂O₂ cores (Figure 1); each six-co-ordinate Zn^{II} ion is co-ordinated to one monodentate and one bidentate nitrobenzene ligand. Compound (2) is composed of mononuclear complexes: each five-co-ordinate Zn^{II} ion exhibits an approximately trigonal bipyramidal co-ordination geometry and is co-ordinated to three monodentate nitrobenzene ligands. The equatorial Zn–O(3) distance is slightly shorter than the apical Zn–O(5) and Zn–O(7) distances. It is surprising that, with such weak donors, the Zn^{II} ions in (2) are only five-co-ordinate.¹⁰

All the nitrobenzene ligands in the two structures nearly preserve the planar structure of gaseous¹¹ and solid¹² nitrobenzene. The Zn^{II} ions are not located in the NO₂ planes of the monodentate nitrobenzene ligands. However, Zn^{II} is only 0.07 Å out of the plane of the bidentate NO₂ group in (1), a degree of planarity shared by Zn^{II} carboxylates containing bidentate CO₂Zn moieties.¹³

The most significant aspect of the structures, however, is the effect of co-ordination on the NO₂ groups. For the monoden-



Figure 1. The structure of $[Zn(PhNO_2)_2(OTeF_5)_2]_2$ (1) (50% probability ellipsoids except for carbon atoms; hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity). Selected distances (Å) and angles (°) are: Zn–O(1), 2.012(5); Zn–O(1'), 2.116(6); Zn–O(2), 1.928(7); Zn–O(3), 2.445(6); Zn–O(4), 2.278(5); Zn–O(5), 2.105(4); N(1)–O(3), 1.220(9); N(1)–O(4), 1.26(1); N(2)–O(5), 1.242(8); N(2)–O(6), 1.197(9); N(1)–C(1), 1.435(7); N(2)–C(7), 1.480(7); O(1)–Zn–O(1'), 79.3(2); O(3)–Zn–O(4), 53.5(2); O(4)–Zn–O(5), 159.4(3); O(1)–Zn–O(2), 117.2(3); O(1)–Zn–O(2), 163.4(2); O(3)–N(1)–O(4), 118.9(6); O(5)–N(2)–O(6), 123.5(5); N(1)–O(3)–Zn, 90.2(5); N(1)–O(4)–Zn, 97.3(4); N(2)–O(5)–Zn, 117.2(4).



Figure 2. The structure of Zn(PhNO₂)₃(OTeF₅)₂ (2) (50% probability ellipsoids except for carbon atoms; hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity). Selected distances (Å) and angles (°) are: Zn–O(1), 1.951(2); Zn–O(2), 1.939(2); Zn–O(3), 2.076(2); Zn–O(5), 2.220(2); Zn–O(7), 2.199(2); Zn···O(6), 2.793(2); Zn···O(8), 2.850(2); N(1)–O(3), 1.240(4); N(1)–O(4), 1.215(4); N(2)–O(5), 1.243(4); N(2)–O(6), 1.225(3); N(3)–O(7), 1.247(3); N(3)–O(8), 1.222(4); N(1)–C(1), 1.462(4); N(2)–C(7), 1.450(4); N(3)–C(13), 1.454(4); O(1)–Zn–O(2), 149.8(1); O(1)–Zn–O(3), 94.2(1); O(2)–Zn–O(3), 116.0(1); O(5)–Zn–O(7), 176.8(1); O(3)–N(1)–O(4), 122.2(3); O(5)–N(2)–O(6), 121.8(2); O(7)–Zn–O(8), 122.1(2); N(1)–O(3)–Zn, 119.9(2); N(2)–O(5)–Zn, 107.9(2); N(3)–O(7)–Zn, 110.0(2).

⁺ Crystal data for (1): C₂₄H₂₀F₂₀N₄O₁₂Te₄Zn₂, M = 1577.6, triclinic, space group $P\overline{1}$, a = 9.851(2), b = 10.572(2), c = 12.292(3) Å, $\alpha = 100.85(2)$, $\beta = 108.28(2)$, $\gamma = 112.12(2)^\circ$, U = 1054.3 Å³, Z = 1, $D_c = 2.485$ g cm⁻³, Mo- K_α radiation, $\lambda = 0.7107$ Å, $20 = 4--55^\circ$, $\mu = 40.3$ cm⁻¹ (empirical absorption correction), anisotropic thermal parameters for all non-hydrogen atoms, R = 0.053 for 298 parameters and 4160 observed reflections with $F > 2.5\sigma(F)$; (2): C₁₈H₁₅F₁₀N₃O₈Te₂Zn, M = 911.9, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/n$, a = 12.580(2), b = 9.979(3), c = 22.577(7) Å, $\beta = 105.68(2)^\circ$, U = 2728.6 Å³, Z = 4, $D_c = 2.220$ g cm⁻³, Mo- K_α radiation, $\lambda = 0.7107$ Å, $2\theta = 4--55^\circ$, $\mu = 31.4$ cm⁻¹ (empirical absorption correction), anisotropic thermal parameters for all non-hydrogen atoms, R = 0.034 for 379 parameters and 5436 observed reflections with $F > 2.5\sigma(F)$. Atomic co-ordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See Notice to Authors, Issue No. 1.

tate nitrobenzene ligands, the N–O bond distances involving the bound O atoms are all longer than 1.240(4) Å [N(1)–O(3) in (2)]. For comparison, the N–O bond distance in free nitrobenzene is 1.21(1) Å in the solid state at $-30 \,^{\circ}\text{C}^{12}$ and 1.226 Å in the gas phase.¹⁴‡ The nitrobenzene ligand in (1) that is co-ordinated to Zn^{II} in asymmetric bidentate fashion also contains an elongated N–O bond. Commensurate with the lengthening (and presumed weakening) of some of the N–O bonds in the co-ordinated nitrobenzene molecules is a shortening (and presumed strengthening) of the C–N bonds [the C–N distances observed in solid and gaseous nitrobenzene are 1.49(2) and 1.492(2) Å, respectively].

IR spectra of (1) and (2) exhibit v(NO) bands that are shifted with respect to free nitrobenzene. For nitrobenzene dispersed in Nujol, $v(NO)_{asym.}$ is 1529 cm⁻¹ and $v(NO)_{sym.}$ is 1346 cm⁻¹. Compound (1) exhibited v(NO) bands at 1525, 1503, 1337, and 1328 cm⁻¹. Compound (2) exhibited v(NO)bands at 1528, 1510, 1340, and 1329 cm⁻¹. The v(NO) data suggest that both N–O bonds in a monodentate nitrobenzene ligand as well as in a bidentate nitrobenzene ligand are weakened upon co-ordination.

We thank M. M. Miller for her continuing technical support of this research and the U.S. National Science Foundation for financial support. S. H. S. is a Fellow of the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation (1987–1989).

Received, 14th November 1989; Com. 9/04873A

[‡] The gas-phase bond distance is quoted, without an estimated standard deviation, in ref. 14.

References

- 1 V. Gutmann, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1976, **18**, 225; 1967, **2**, 239; 'Coordination Chemistry in Nonaqueous Solvents,' Springer Verlag, Wien-New York, 1969.
- 2 B. N. Diel and H. Hope, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1986, 25, 4448, and references therein.
- 3 S. F. Palopoli, S. J. Geib, A. L. Reingold, and T. B. Brill, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1988, 27, 2963, and references therein; J. Vincente, M. T. Chicote, J. Martin, M. Artigao, X. Solans, M. Font-Altaba, and M. Aguilo, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1988, 141, and references therein; Y. A. Simonov, V. K. Bel'Skii, G. S. Matuzenko, and N. V. Berbeleu, *Sov. Phys. Crystallogr.*, 1984, 29, 47, and references therein.
- 4 Two exceptions involving weak monodentate co-ordination of nitromethane to Cu^{II} and Ti^{IV} are, respectively: B. Duffin and S. C. Wallwork, *Acta Crystallogr.*, 1966, **20**, 210; M. Boyer, Y. Jeannin, C. Rocchiccioli-Deltcheff, and R. Thouvenot, *J. Coord. Chem.*, 1978, **7**, 219.
- 5 R. F. Jordan and D. F. Taylor, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 778.
- 6 A. Sen, Adv. Polym. Sci., 1986, 73/74, 125.
- 7 G. D. Williams, R. R. Whittle, G. L. Geoffroy, and A. L. Reingold, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1987, 109, 3936; S. Cenini, Aspects Homogeneous Catal., 1988, 6, 97.
- 8 S. F. Palopoli and T. B. Brill, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1988, 27, 2971, and references therein.
- 9 P. J. Kellett, Ph.D. Dissertation, Colorado State University, 1989; P. K. Hurlburt, P. J. Kellett, O. P. Anderson, and S. H. Strauss, manuscript in preparation.
- 10 T. P. E. Auf der Heyde and L. R. Nassimbeni, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B, 1984, 40, 582.
- 11 J. H. Høg, L. Nygaard, and G. O. Sørensen, J. Mol. Struct., 1970, 7, 111.
- 12 J. Trotter, Acta Crystallogr., 1959, 12, 884.
- 13 C. J. Carrell, H. L. Carrell, J. Erlebacher, and J. P. Glusker, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 8651.
- 14 N. I. Sadova and L. V. Vilkov, Russ. Chem. Rev., 1982, 51, 87.